In vitro post-harvest growth parameters of termite-associated fungi: a case study of *Termitomyces schimperi* (Basidiomycota, Lyophyllaceae)
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Abstract

Post-harvest growth parameters of the pileus of three immature sporocarps (Y7, Y8, Y10) of *Termitomyces schimperi* showing margins still attached to the stipe by the veil upon collection were studied in order to determine the optimum temperature, air moisture and maximum time at which they continue to grow after collection until opening their hymenophore, giving access to more mature lamellae able to produce spore-prints and more relevant for other studies. The figures obtained from the three equations (\(Y_7 = 0.7 + 0.1x\); \(Y_8 = 1.98 + 0.225x\); \(Y_{10} = -0.94 + 0.116x\)) of correlation between pileus post-harvest growth and time show various growth patterns depending on morphology, physiology and metabolism of each of the three sporocarps (3 replicates: Y7, Y8 and Y10) tested in vitro. The experiment also shows that, while the size of the stipe of *T. schimperi* remains constant in the three replicates, its pileus continues to grow for about 36 hrs maximum after collection and its diameter increases of about 3.23 cm to 10.08 cm in an environment of darkness with temperature ranging between 23°C and 24°C and relative humidity between 88% and 92%. Considering on the one hand that gill-fungi in general and *Termitomyces* in particular are highly perishable goods, and on the other hand that sporocarps of *Termitomyces* are not yet raised in artificial culture, this experiment shows that during mycological excursions, even when sporocarps of these species are found immature on the field, they should also be collected for study since a post-harvest growth of the pileus of numerous species could be artificially triggered or boosted in the laboratory in order to be able to obtain spore-prints important at various levels, and also get access to more mature lamellae absolutely necessary for further studies including particularly the macro- and microscopical descriptions prior to identification. Mature lamellae are also most often the best part used in gill-fungi for DNA extraction in molecular studies.
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Introduction

The genus *Termitomyces* R. Heim includes a group of paleotropical and good edible mushrooms (Mossebo & Njouonkou 2010, Kansci et al. 2003) sharing together some common ecological and morphological features, the most conspicuous of which being the obligate symbiotic association with termites belonging to the subfamily *Macrotermitinae*, the presence of a more or less conspicuous umbo called perforatorium which is pointed in some species and believed to help
the basidiome forging its way out of the soil during growth, and the presence of a pseudorhiza which is a thread-like subterranean elongation of the stipe in connection with combs built by termites in giant or subterranean termites nests. Since this genus was erected by Heim (1942) with 10 species and 6 forms, several other taxa mainly from Africa and Asia have been described and documented by several authors including Heim (1958, 1977), Mossebo (2000, 2002), Mossebo et al. (2002, 2006, 2009, 2011, 2017), Natarajan (1979), Otieno (1964), Pegler (1977), Piearce (1987), Pegler & Vanhaecke (1994), Saarimäki et al. (1994), Tang et al. (2005, 2006), Turnbull & Watling (1999), Van der Westhuizen & Eicker (1990), Wei et al. (2004). An estimate of about 30 species (Kirk et al. 2008) of Termitomyces have so far been described worldwide, some of which being specific to tropical Africa, others to parts of Asia and some few common to these two regions of the world (Mossebo et al. 2017). Singer (1986) also reported Termitomyces in some regions of the South Pacific. In a contribution to further improve the state of knowledge of African species, Mossebo et al. (2002, 2009, 2011) documented 18 records of Termitomyces from Cameroon and central Africa. However, all the above mentioned contributions from various authors were done only on the basis of macro- and micromorphological features. The first molecular and phylogenetic studies on Termitomyces are relatively recent, the most noteworthy being those of Rouland LeFevre et al. (2002), Aanen et al. (2002), Taprab et al. (2002), Frøslev et al. (2003), Sawhasan et al. (2011), Oyetayo (2012), Saré et al. (2014) and Mossebo et al. (2017).

Taxonomic studies of gill-fungi in general including Termitomyces are preferably carried out on mature sporocarps or fruit bodies for a reliable description of their macroscopic and microscopic features. However, during collection, it sometimes happens that sporocarps of these species are found immature on the field. Considering that gill-fungi are generally highly perishable goods and most often start deteriorating not long, sometimes within just few hrs after collection according to numerous authors including Burton et al. (1987), López-Briones et al. (1992, 1993), Roy et al. (1996) & Tano et al. (1999), they furthermore have a generally short life span of just some few hrs or days according to these authors. For the above mentioned reasons, sporocarps of Termitomyces and other gill-fungi should preferably be collected mature on the field considering that collection on the spot can most often not be delayed for many reasons. These reasons include the high risk of not finding them anymore or already rotten on the growing spot if collection is postponed days after. However, these sporocarps are usually also found immature and immature lamellae from hymenophore are irrelevant particularly for micro-morphological descriptions and are generally unable to produce spore-prints. These spore-prints are yet necessary for a relevant description including color, spores features sometimes unavailable in lamellae of some species, as well as spore culture for the studies of cultural characters. Termitomyces schimperi (Pat.) R. Heim in particular, when immature shows a subglobose, hemispherical or subhemispherical pileus (Heim 1977, Mossebo et al. 2002) most often still attached to the stipe by the veil. These morphologies (Fig. 2 (C1, B2, A1)) give no direct access to the lamellate hymenophore in order to detach lamellae. They also offer no possibility of obtaining spore-prints. Therefore and whenever possible, an additional growth of these immature sporocarps should be boosted or artificially triggered in order to obtain more mature sporocarps and internal structures including the hymenium.

Post-harvest growth of sporocarps have already been observed and studied in some species (Ares et al. 2007, Henze 1989, Moore 1984) but not yet in the genus Termitomyces. These studies followed observations that young sporocarps of some species of gill-fungi in particular continue to develop even after they were separated from the generating mycelium (Hammond 1979) on substrate. Considering Agaricus bisporus in particular, Hammond (1979) affirms that its sporocarp continues to develop for some time in post-harvest growth exactly as when it was still on the cultivation substrate which was compost. Braaksma et al. (1998) furthermore says that during post-harvest growth, stipe of Agaricus bisporus (J.E. Lange) Imbach continue to grow in length, pileus enlarges, lamellae are formed followed by spores production. Moore (1984) observed the same development in Coprinus cinereus (Schaeff: Fr.) Gray. Considering that such studies have never before been carried out on Termitomyces in general and T. schimperi in particular, it appeared necessary, in case immature sporocarps of these species and other macromycetes are collected on
the field, to also develop strategies in order to trigger or boost their post-harvest growth and pileus in particular, the aim being the accurate determination of the optimal time and other environmental parameters essential for the improvement of the stage of maturity of the cap and hymenial structures and also trigger the cap-opening in order to obtain spore-print. The research work carried out consisted of setting up an experimental device in which a specific environment was created in vitro in order to determine the optimum temperature and relative humidity (air moisture) for post-harvest growth and thereafter correlate pileus diameter and time at which immature pileus of *T. schimperi* continues to develop for the above mentioned purposes.

Materials & methods

Materials

The study was carried out using three immature specimens (*Y₇*, *Y₈*, *Y₁₀*) of *T. schimperi* as the three replicates (Figs 2 (C1, B2, A1)) of the experiment. They were either collected or bought fresh on order the same day of their collection by farmers in three different food markets in Yaoundé (capital of Cameroon). Other material used included: a hard-paper box (Fig. 1A) of about 25 cm (L) × 15 cm (l) × 15 cm (H) (could be bigger depending on the size and number of specimen to be tested at a time) with the 4 sides of the top cover folded inside in order to transform the box into a damp chamber; a VWR (EU 620-0195 NA 82021-166) hygro-thermometer clock (Figs 1(F₁, F₂, F₃) & Fig. 1H) with outdoor sensor (Fig. 1F₃) to monitor the temperature and relative humidity (air moisture) in the damp chamber; a tape meter (Fig. 1G) to measure the size of the pileus in post-harvest growth, a water sprayer (Fig. 1E), a thick towel (Fig. 1D) and tap water.

Methods

Once the 4 sides of the top cover of the hard-paper box were folded inside (Fig. 1A), the sprayer was filled up with tap water also used to thoroughly wet the towel. The wire outdoor sensor of the hygro-thermometer clock was thereafter connected (Fig. 1F₂) to the device (Fig. 1F₁). Stipe of sporocarps were thoroughly cleaned under flowing tap-water in order to get rid of eventual soil or dirty remains from collection area and thereafter set for a while to dry at the laboratory temperature (25-28°C). The entire immature sporocarps (Fig. 1 (B, C)) were then placed at the middle-bottom of the box and the hygro-thermometer sensor tip (Fig. 1F₃) placed within, near the sporocarps.

After the hygro-thermometer was switched on and placed some centimetres away (Fig. 1F₁) from the box, the box content was thoroughly sprayed with tap-water in the sprayer and immediately after, covered with the wet towel in order to keep a high relative humidity and darkness around the sporocarps in the box. Preliminary experimental trials with temperatures ranging from 20°C to 28°C and relative humidity from 65% to 92% showed that the optimum temperature and air moisture for a maximum post-harvest growth of the pileus in the damp chamber ranged respectively between 23°C to 24°C and 88% to 92%. The role of the hygro-thermometer was to directly monitor these figures from outside the damp chamber and whenever the relative humidity in particular dropped to less than 88%, the towel was removed and once more slightly dipped in tap water and the damp chamber containing the sporocarps once more sprayed with water from sprayer before being covered again with the wet towel. The launching time of the experiment was noted on the clock of the hygro-thermometer and at regular interval of about 6 hrs, the growth in diameter and the differential diameter size of the pileus was measured with the tape meter (Fig. 1G) and noted. These figures were thereafter used to compute the correlation equations (Fig. 4) between pileus post-harvest growth and time, followed by the analysis of the histograms (Fig. 3) and regression lines (Fig. 4) obtained.

**Results**

**Correlation between time and post-harvest growth of the pileus and its mycological interest**

Images on Fig 2 and post-harvest growth data recorded in Table 1, 2 & 3 and thereafter computed in histograms (Fig. 3) and regression lines (Fig. 4) for each of the 3 replicates show ± important differences in their evolution in post-harvest growth. Considering first the specimens N° 7 and 8, they show (Figs. 2, 3 & 4) a steady growth of the pileus diameter in the first 12 to 24 hrs after launching the experiment with key phases such as the breaking (Figs. 2 (C1, C2) and...
Fig. 2 – Monitoring of the increasing growth in diameter (A1–A4, B1–B4, C1–C4) in vitro of the pileus of *T. schimperi* collected immature in 3 replicates (specimens Y7 (C), Y9(B) & Y10(A)).

Figs. 2 (B2, B3)) of the veil (Figs. 2 (C1-B2)/arrow) from the pileus, followed by the enlargement of the pileus diameter, changing from subglobose (Fig. 2C1) to subhemispherical (Fig. 2C4) for specimen 7 and from subglobose (Fig. 2B2) to convex (Fig. 2B4) for specimen N° 8. It is worth mentioning that the convex and subhemispherical forms of the pileus enabled the collection of spore-print (Fig. 1J), impossible to obtain with the original subglobose forms (Figs 2 (B2, C1)). Histograms on Figure 3 and data on differential growth in pileus diameter show that for specimen N° 7 & 8 in particular, pileus growth slows down a bit between the 24th and 36th hr and generally stops completely around the 36th hr after launching the experiment, considering that diameters recorded at the 48th hr (Figs. 2 (C4, B4)) and more, did not evolve from that recorded at the 36th hr. Elsewhere, considering specimen N° 10, its evolution pattern somewhat differs from that of specimen N° 7 and 8 in that its post-harvest growth is relatively slow as shown on Figures 2 (A1, A2, A3), Figure 3 and data in Table 3 from the 1st to the 24th hr of the experiment and its pileus (Figs. 2 (A3, A4)) developed essentially between the 24th and 36th hr when it became convex to plano-convex with an opened hymenophore giving an easier access to more mature lamellae.

The preliminary conclusion from the above mentioned observations and data recorded is that when the surrounding temperature and relative humidity are suitable, pileus of immature sporocarps of *T. schimperi* can continue to grow in diameter for a maximum time of about 36 hrs when they are set in a suitable environment including darkness, temperature ranging between 23°C and 24°C and relative humidity between 88% and 92%. Figures presented in Table 4 show that in such an environment, during the 36 hrs post-harvest growth, the pileus diameter could potentially increase from 3.23 to 10.08 cm with an average augmentation of 5.87 cm. This experiment using *T. schimperi* as model led among others to the gain of a more mature pileus (Fig. 1I), weighing a little bit more than it was on the collection spot, what somewhat represents a gain in quantity of consumable flesh - able to produce spore-print (Fig. 1J) from more mature lamellae (Fig. 1I), spore-prints being absolutely necessary for further studies including crossings in sexual reproduction and
raising of mycelia in artificial culture in some species. Also, the development of the pileus along with the opening of its lamellate hymenophore following the morphological evolution (Figs. 1 (B, C) ≠ Figs 1 (A4, B4, C4) and Fig. 11) gave access to lamellae which could henceforth be easily collected for further studies including the study of microscopical features as well as molecular phylogenetic studies, considering that mature lamellae are usually the best part used for DNA extraction in gill-fungi. Considering the above mentioned scientific benefits from the experimental protocol described and particularly suitable for Termitomyces schimperi, the experiment could be easily extended to some other taxa of Termitomyces and numerous other species of gill-fungi which are well known by field mycologists as sometimes found still immature on the field during mycological excursions, but must nevertheless be collected in that state, since they are known to be highly perishable goods (compared to carpophores of most Polyporales and similar groups of mostly annual to perennial species which are known to be generally more resistant and last longer) and their fast to very fast growing nature is such that their collection could generally not be postponed for later.

The potential average growth of pileus diameter of the 3 specimens (replicates) of T. schimperi after 36 hrs of post-harvest growth is given below in Table 1:

**Table 1** Potential growth range in vitro of pileus diameter of three sporocarps (specimen N°7, N°8 and N°10 as three replicates) of T. schimperi collected immature, based on the correlation equations and the indicative maximum (36 hrs) post-harvest time of growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replicate number</th>
<th>Correlation equations between time (X in hrs) and pileus diameter in post-harvest growth (Y in cm) of 3 sporocarps (N°7, 8 &amp; 10) used as 3 replicates</th>
<th>Potential growth (Y) of pileus diameter in 36 hrs</th>
<th>Average growth of pileus diameter of the 3 replicates: ((a + b + c) : 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Sporocarp N° 7)</td>
<td>(Y_7 = 0.7 + 0.1x)</td>
<td>4.3 cm (\text{(a)})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sporocarp N°8)</td>
<td>(Y_8 = 1.98 + 0.225x)</td>
<td>10.08 cm (\text{(b)})</td>
<td>5.87 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sporocarp N° 10)</td>
<td>(Y_{10} = -0.94 + 0.116x)</td>
<td>3.23 cm (\text{(c)})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical calculations**

**Table 2** Statistical data and correlation equation between post-harvest growth of Termitomyces schimperi (sporocarp N° 7 = replicate N° 1) pileus diameter and time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X (time of post-harvest growth in hrs)</th>
<th>Y (growth of pileus diameter in cm)</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>Y²</th>
<th>X.Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>136.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(n=5\)

\(\Sigma X = 96\) \hspace{1cm} \(\Sigma X^2 = 2376\) \hspace{1cm} \((\Sigma X)^2 = 9216\)

\(\Sigma Y = 13.1\) \hspace{1cm} \(\Sigma Y^2 = 40.75\) \hspace{1cm} \((\Sigma Y)^2 = 171.61\)

\(\Sigma XY = 304.8\)
Means of X and Y from Table 2:
\[ \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{96}{5} = 19.2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} = \frac{\sum y}{n} = \frac{13.1}{5} = 2.62 \]

Coefficient a and b of the regression line \( (Y = a+bx) \) for the post-harvest growth of pileus diameter:
\[
b = \frac{\sum x \cdot y - \left( \frac{n \cdot \sum x \cdot \sum y}{n} \right)}{\sum x^2 - \left( \frac{\sum x^2}{n} \right)^2} = \frac{304.8 - \frac{96 \times 13.1}{5}}{2376 - \frac{9216}{5}} = 0.1
\]
\[
a = \bar{y} - b \bar{x} = 2.62 - 0.1 \times 19.2 = 0.7
\]
\[ Y = 0.7 + 0.1X \]

Correlation coefficient \( (r) \):
\[
r = \frac{\sum x \cdot y - \left( \frac{n \cdot \sum x \cdot \sum y}{n} \right)}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{\sum x^2}{n} \right) \times \left( \frac{\sum y^2}{n} \right)}} = \frac{304.8 - \frac{96 \times 13.1}{5}}{\sqrt{2376 - \frac{9216}{5}}} = 0.91
\]

Table 3 Statistical data and correlation equation between post-harvest growth of T. schimperi (sporocarp N° 8 = replicate N° 2) pileus diameter and time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X (time of post-harvest growth in hrs)</th>
<th>Y (growth of pileus diameter in cm)</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>Y²</th>
<th>X.Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>28.09</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>39.69</td>
<td>113.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>68.89</td>
<td>199.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>86.49</td>
<td>334.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ n=5 \]
\[ \sum x = 96 \quad \sum x^2 = 2376 \quad (\sum x)^2 = 9216 \]
\[ \sum y = 31.5 \quad \sum y^2 = 228.45 \quad (\sum y)^2 = 992.25 \]
\[ \sum xy = 724.8 \]

Means of X and Y from Table 3:
\[ \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{96}{5} = 19.2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} = \frac{\sum y}{n} = \frac{31.5}{5} = 6.3 \]

Coefficient a and b of the regression line \( (Y=a+bx) \) for the post-harvest growth of pileus diameter:
\[
b = \frac{\sum x \cdot y - \left( \frac{n \cdot \sum x \cdot \sum y}{n} \right)}{\sum x^2 - \left( \frac{\sum x^2}{n} \right)^2} = \frac{724.8 - \frac{96 \times 31.5}{5}}{2376 - \frac{9216}{5}} = 0.225
\]
\[
a = \bar{y} - b \bar{x} = 6.3 - 0.225 \times 19.2 = 1.98
\]
\[ Y = 1.98 + 0.225X \]
Correlation coefficient (r):

\[ r = \frac{\sum X \cdot Y - \frac{\sum X \cdot \sum Y}{n}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum X^2 - \left(\frac{\sum X}{n}\right)^2}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{\sum Y^2 - \left(\frac{\sum Y}{n}\right)^2}{n}\right)}} \]

\[ r = \frac{2376 - 9216 \times \frac{5}{96} \times 228.45 - 992.25 \times \frac{5}{96}}{724.8 \times \frac{96 \times 13.1}{5}} \]

\[ r = 0.949 \]

**Table 4** Statistical data and correlation equation between post-harvest growth of *T. schimperi* (sporocarp N° 10 = replicate N° 3) pileus diameter and time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X (time of post-harvest growth in hrs)</th>
<th>Y (growth of pileus diameter in hrs)</th>
<th>X^2</th>
<th>Y^2</th>
<th>X.Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>129.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=5

\[ \sum X = 96 \quad \sum X^2 = 2376 \quad \left(\sum X\right)^2 = 9216 \]

\[ \sum Y = 6.4 \quad \sum Y^2 = 15.9 \quad \left(\sum Y\right)^2 = 40.96 \]

\[ \sum XY = 184.8 \]

Means of X and Y from Table 4:

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{\sum X}{n} = \frac{96}{5} = 19.2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} = \frac{\sum Y}{n} = \frac{6.4}{5} = 1.28 \]

Coefficient a and b of the regression line (Y = a + bx) for the post-harvest growth of pileus diameter:

\[ b = \frac{\sum X \cdot Y - \frac{\sum X \cdot \sum Y}{n}}{\sum X^2 - \frac{\left(\sum X\right)^2}{n}} = \frac{184.8 - \frac{96 \times 6.4}{5}}{2376 - \frac{9216}{5}} = 0.116 \]

\[ a = \bar{y} - b \bar{x} = 1.28 - 0.116 \times 19.2 = -0.94 \]

\[ Y_{10} = -0.94 + 0.116X \]

Correlation coefficient (r):

\[ r = \frac{\sum X \cdot Y - \frac{\sum X \cdot \sum Y}{n}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum X^2 - \left(\frac{\sum X}{n}\right)^2}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{\sum Y^2 - \left(\frac{\sum Y}{n}\right)^2}{n}\right)}} \]

\[ r = \frac{184.8 - 122.88}{\sqrt{(532.8) \times (7.71)}} \]

\[ r = 0.96 \]
Histograms and correlation with regression lines

Fig. 3 – Histograms comparing the pileus diameter of three immature specimens (N° 7, 8 & 10) of *T. schimperi* in post-harvest growth.

Fig. 4 – Correlation between growth of pileus diameter in cm and time of post-harvest growth in hours.

**Discussion**

Post-harvest growth and storage conditions of cultivated mushrooms have already been studied essentially in some few species of gill-fungi including *Agaricus bisporus*, *Lentinus edodes* (Berk.) Singer (shiitake) and *Pleurotus* spp. (Ares et al. 2007), but such studies have never before been carried out in the genus *Termitomyces* which are all symbiotic species of which sporocarps are not yet for the time-being artificially raised. According to Wichers et al. (2005), harvested mushrooms continue to grow as part of the ageing process and their post-harvest morphogenesis includes cap opening and stipe elongation that occur simultaneously. Considering *Agaricus bisporus* in particular which is the most cultivated species worldwide and featuring also among the most studied species in post-harvest growth, Hammond (1979) affirms that this species continues to
develop after harvest as if it was still on the cultivation substrate (compost). On the sequence of events in post-harvest growth of Agaricus bisporus, Braaksma et al. (1998) says that “the stipe grows longitudinally, the cap continues to expand, gill formation occurs and spores are produced”. Similar or different developments have also been observed in some few other species of gill-fungi studied by various authors including Ares et al. (2007), Henze (1989) and Moore (1984). The results of our study in T. schimperi generally match the above-mentioned observations of various authors, the main difference being that there is no stipe elongation in post-harvest growth of T. schimperi.

The study carried out here on the correlation of some post-harvest growth parameters in vitro of T. schimperi is the first in its kind in this genus. T. schimperi was chosen as model for the study for several reasons including that it features with T. titanicus Pegler & Pearce and T. letestui (Pat.) R. Heim among the largest and most fleshy species of this genus, and are therefore highly preferred by collectors and consumers. Considering that both species and others in the genus are not yet cultivated artificially, scientists still rely only on luck to collect them on the field where they could be found mature or still immature (Mossebo et al. 2002, 2017), or even just at the primordium (Aanen et al. 2002, Frøslev et al. 2003) stage. Immature sporocarps of some other Termitomyces species and several other gill-fungi could therefore also be collected and set in specific environments in the laboratory for post-harvest growth enabling their studies. Another reason for choosing T. schimperi as model in this study is that, as in Agaricus bisporus (Ares et al. 2007, Hammond 1979, Braaksma et al. 1998), the evolution of the pileus morphology of T. schimperi at different steps of growth is suitable for the research question investigated here. However, one of the major differences noted with the post-harvest growth of Agaricus bisporus during which the stipe continues to grow according to Hammond (1979) and Braaksma et al. (1998), is that the size of the stipe of T. schimperi remains constant and does not evolve in post-harvest growth. In fact, in T. schimperi, only the pileus enlarges and changes morphology. One of our key results is the determination of the maximum time of post-harvest growth of this species established to about 36 hrs. This is however just an indicative figure which could still vary a little bit depending on the state of the sporocarp used which is in close relationship with several other parameters including its state of freshness that depends on water and other reserve substances content at the start of post-harvest growth experiment. About Agaricus bisporus, Burton (1988) affirms that “proteolytic enzymes release amino acids from proteins that provide fungi the necessary nutrients and energy for their morphological changes, especially sporulation”. This process could be similar in T. schimperi considering that according to Kansci et al. (2003) who investigated six different species of Termitomyces, T. schimperi shows: 83.75 % of water, a protein content of 2.35 g per 100 g of fresh weight and 14.48 g per 100 g of dry weight, the highest amount of carbohydrates (57.42 g per 100 g of dry weight) and second highest provider of energy (210.6 kJ per 100 g of fresh weight). About morphological changes, the high amount of carbohydrates and energy could also account for the relatively fast opening of pileus hemenophore in T. schimperi, where this was found to occur 24 to 36 hrs (Fig. 2) after launching the post-harvest growth experiment, whereas according to Wichers et al. (2005), a minimum of 3 days (72 hrs) is needed for the cap-opening of fast growing strains of Agaricus bisporus. The above mentioned parameters surely account for the three different correlation equations \( Y_7 = 0.7 + 0.1x; Y_8 = 1.98 + 0.225x; Y_{10} = -0.94 + 0.116x \) obtained for the 3 replicates (sporocarps) of T. schimperi with quite different patterns (Fig. 4) of post-harvest growth giving way to a wide range of variation of additional growth (3.23 cm to 10.08 cm) in pileus diameter. Correlation equations between time and growth of carpophores have earlier (Ayissi 2015, Ayissi et al. 2015) been computed in Ganoderma resinaceum Boud. but the aim here was rather to determine the optimum time of spore production and collection on the field.

In conclusion, the experiment carried out here with T. schimperi could serve as a model for other species of gill-fungi in particular, considering that field mycologists, researchers, mushrooms collectors and consumers sometimes find them only at an immature stage of growth on the field, whereas taxonomist mycologists and researchers in fungal sciences in general would prefer more mature sporocarps in order to properly carry out macro- and micromorphological studies, as well as
collecting spore-prints and extracting DNA for molecular phylogeny, which in gill-fungi in particular, is most often done from mature lamellae.
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